

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DEXTER M. ETTIENE-MODESTE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

HARTFORD POLICE DEPARTMENT, *et al.*,

Defendants.

21-CV-1162 (CM)

TRANSFER ORDER

COLLEEN McMAHON, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, currently detained in the Metro West Detention Center, located in Doral, Florida, brings this *pro se* action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that Defendants violated his rights in the state of Connecticut. For the following reasons, this action is transferred to the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), a civil action may be brought in

(1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located; (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated; or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to such action.

Under § 1391(c), a “natural person” resides in the district where the person is domiciled, and an “entity with the capacity to sue and be sued” resides in any judicial district where it is subject to personal jurisdiction with respect to the civil action in question. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(1), (2).

Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated his rights in the state of Connecticut. Because Plaintiff does not allege that any Defendant resides in this district or that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to his claim arose in this district, venue is not proper in this Court under § 1391(b)(1), (2). Plaintiff's claims arose in Connecticut, which is in the District of Connecticut. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 86. Accordingly, venue lies in the District of Connecticut, 28

U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), and this action is transferred to the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff and note service on the docket. The Clerk of Court is further directed to transfer this action to the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut. Whether Plaintiff should be permitted to proceed further without prepayment of fees is a determination to be made by the transferee court. A summons shall not issue from this Court. This order closes this case.

The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore *in forma pauperis* status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *See Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 10, 2021
New York, New York



COLLEEN McMAHON
Chief United States District Judge